ADDENDUM 1

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL COMMENTS

(arising from ScC-SC4)

ACTION PLAN FOR MIGRATORY LANDBIRDS IN THE AFRICAN-EURASIAN REGION (AEMLAP)

UNEP/CMS/COP13/Doc.26.1.2

RECOMMENDATIONS TO COP13

Recommended for adoption.

GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE DOCUMENT

General comments on the issue

- It was stated that more resources for implementation of AEMLAP needed to be channeled and the activities needed to be made more visible;
- The lack of funding for this initiative was to be emphasized, as well as it was the case for other initiatives such as the coordination of the implementation of the Flyways Programme of Work;
- The proposed Decision regarding the funding needs should express those needs in a stronger way.

Comments on the Background

Background section page 4, para 6.

- For clarification, at the International Ornithological Congress in Vancouver, Canada, in August 2018 a whole symposium on the "Conservation on African-Eurasian Migratory Landbirds" was convened by Olivier Biber (Switzerland) and Pavel Ktitorov (Russian Federation), with several presentations including one by Franz Bairlein. Furthermore, a round-table discussion was organised by Simba Chan (the compiler of the Yellow-breasted Bunting Action Plan) on migratory buntings and other passerines in the central and eastern part of Asia.

COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC SECTIONS/ INCLUDING POSSIBLE PROPOSALS FOR TEXT REVISION

Text revisions:

Annex 2 – Draft Decisions

Page 12, Draft Decision 13.BB (12.23) b),

Add text as following on the described activities:

- i) identify migratory pathways and strategies (including multi-species analysis) using tracking technologies to <u>better</u> understand <u>movement patterns</u>, <u>geographic areas of particular</u> <u>importance to multiple landbird species and</u> migratory connectivity so enabling field research, monitoring and conservation action to be targeted more effectively;
- iv) use satellite image earth observation data and where possible in conjunction with on the ground habitat and bird monitoring (including through synergies with and expansion of common bird monitoring schemes) to improve understanding where land cover is changing and how this impacts African-Eurasian migrant birds, and research the drivers of land use / land cover change;

Comments on Document UNEP/CMS/COP13/Doc.26.1.2/Annex 3; AEMLAP Annex 3: Species Lists:

Page 34, Introductory text, Point 1:

- Under the BirdLife definition of 'full migrant' should read 'a substantial proportion of the global or regional population makes regular or seasonal cyclical movements beyond the breeding range, with predictable timing and destinations.' [Migratory is defined as those species recorded within the IUCN Species Information Service (SIS) and BirdLife World Bird Database (WBDB) as 'Full Migrant', i.e. species which have a substantial (>50%) proportion of the global or regional population which migrates makes regular or seasonal cyclical movements beyond the breeding range, with predictable timing and destinations].
- Also under point 1, delete the following text: 'with the addition of Great Bustard *Otis tarda* which is listed on CMS Appendix I and II and is probably erroneously recorded as an altitudinal migrant within SIS and the WBDB'. This comes from the original document and in fact the Great Bustard has been listed as a full migrant in both SIS and the WBDB for many years.
- For clarity we would also suggest that the method of updating the list is explained fully on this
 page with reference to the date stamped version of the BirdLife checklist used for comparison
 and a fuller explanation of how an entity previously on the list which has since been split or
 lumped was dealt with.
- On this topic some of the entities originally included in the AEMLAP species list appear to be missing in the updated annex. As with the disaggregation work, the aim should be to retain all entities covered by the previous list if still deemed to qualify even if some of those entities have since been split or lumped; Birdlife and the ScC will collaborate in addressing the necessary changes in the species list.
- We would also suggest that within each category the species in Annex 3 are re-ordered to reflect their taxonomic order.