



CONVENTION ON MIGRATORY SPECIES

Distr: General

UNEP/CMS/Inf.9.24
1 December 2008

Original: English

Terms of reference (draft) for the Intersessional Working Group regarding the future shape of CMS, established according to Resolution UNEP/CMS/9.13

Objectives

- 1 – The Working Group shall consider the issues set out in Resolution UNEP/CMS/9.13 with a view to considering various options regarding the potential strategic evolution of CMS and the CMS family and make recommendations thereon. The overall objective of the proposals should be to strengthen CMS contributions to the worldwide conservation, management and sustainable use of migratory species over their entire range.
- 2 – To that end, the Working Group shall examine possibilities for strategic development and organisation of the CMS and the CMS family, taking into account the issues mentioned in point 3 of Resolution UNEP/CMS/9.13 “Intersessional process regarding the future shape of CMS”..
- 3 – The Working Group shall precisely identify the institutional, legal, organisational and budgetary impacts of its proposals.
- 4 – The Working Group shall examine whether external consultations are required. It shall also determine whether meetings of the Working Group are needed and, if yes, at which period of the steps of the process. Funding for consultations and meetings will be raised through voluntary contributions.
- 5 – The Group will keep the Standing Committee informed of its work through reports to the meetings of the Committee, and present its initial findings to the Standing Committee in 2010.

Composition of the Working Group

- 6 – The Working Group shall be composed of Parties to the Convention with a regional balance of two members per region. The Chair and the Vice-Chair of the Standing Committee shall be additional members of the Working Group.
- 7 – The Working Group will :
 - establish links with the Party representatives of the CMS regional Agreements, particularly : ACAP (the Chair of the Advisory Committee), AEWA (the Chair of the Standing Committee), ACCOBAMS (the Chair of the last MOP), ASCOBANS (the Chair of the Advisory Committee), EUROBATS (the Chair of the Standing Committee), GORILLAS (the Chair of the last MOP) and MOU representatives who will be determined, under the responsibility of the Chair of the CMS Standing Committee, no later than two months after COP9 ;

- and invite their view on their work and considerations.

8 – The Working Group will also liaise and seek the views of countries undertaking similar reviews within the Ramsar Convention and the UN family.

9 – The Group's representation shall be agreed during CMS COP9 or, if not possible, under the responsibility of the Chair of the CMS Standing Committee, no later than one month after COP9.

10 – The Chair and Vice Chair shall be chosen among the members of the Working Group during CMS COP9 or, if not possible, no later than two months after this COP.

11 – Any range State represented in the Working Group will not be bound by the recommendations issued by the Working Group.

12- The Working Group shall endeavour to adopt recommendations by consensus. Nevertheless, a representative could be allowed to mention his/her position in the deliberations in case of divergence of views.

13 – The Working Group will be served by the CMS Secretariat which will liaise with the other Secretariats of the CMS family.

Consultations

14 – The CMS Secretariat will inform the biodiversity MEA Secretariats and other international organisations identified by the Working Group as relevant, as soon as possible, about the intersessional process under way.

15 – At the same time, the CMS Secretariat will invite these Agreements and organisations to designate a correspondent who will provide, if required, advice and suggestions to the Working Group.

16 – Contracting Parties shall be consulted by their regional representatives at each step of the process.

17 – The Working Group members will agree on the modalities pertaining to the information and the consultation of the Parties to the Agreement they represent. The consultation process will take account of the deadlines and calendar of activities to be respected as detailed below.

Work process

First step (2009)

(hypothesis : organisation of a Standing Committee meeting- n°36 - in 2009)

18 – The first step consists in conducting an assessment of the current situation regarding the organisation and activities of CMS and CMS family. This assessment will underline the advantages and the drawbacks of the system in place, taking especially into account the issues mentioned in point 3 of Resolution UNEP/CMS/9.13.

19 – This assessment (report n°1) shall be finalized before September 2009 for consideration by the Standing Committee Meeting n°36.

20 – The report n°1 will be communicated to the CMS Standing Committee members at least one month before the CMS Standing Committee. The CMS Standing Committee will provide its comments and suggestions to the Working Group within one month after the Standing Committee meeting.

21 - After review, the report will be made available, for information, to the Parties to CMS and to other related Agreements. It will be made available, for information, simultaneously to the multilateral environmental agreements and the other international organisations concerned.

Second step (2010)

(hypothesis: organisation of a Standing Committee meeting- n° 37 - in autumn 2010)

22 – For each of the issues mentioned in point 3 of Resolution UNEP/CMS/9.13 and in the light of the outcome of the assessment of CMS’s current situation, the Working Group shall propose different options on the organisation and strategic activities that could improve current operations and, as appropriate, solve any difficulty encountered and foreseen in the functioning of CMS and CMS family.

23 - The Working Group shall produce a report (report n°2) of the second step before September 2010 for consideration by the Standing Committee n°37.

24 - The report n°2 will be communicated to the CMS Standing Committee members at least one month before the CMS Standing Committee meeting. The CMS Standing Committee will provide its comments and suggestions to the Working Group within one month after the Standing Committee meeting.

25 – After review, the report n°2 will be made available for information to the Parties to the CMS and to other related Agreements, as well as to the multilateral environmental agreements and the other international organisations concerned.

Third step (2011)

(hypothesis: organisation of only one Standing Committee meeting immediately before the COP10)

26 – On the basis of the hypothesis developed as a result of the second step of the process, the Working Group shall propose three different options for the future organisation and the strategic development of CMS and CMS family, outlining the pros and the cons of each.

27 – These options will include considerations on their institutional, legal, organisational and budgetary implications.

28 – A report on step 3 (report n°3) will be communicated to the CMS Standing Committee members six months before COP10. The report will make provisional recommendations about the Group’s preferred option. The Standing Committee members are expected to respond providing their coordinated comments and suggestions four months before COP10.

29 – The report n°3 will be made available, after review, to the Parties to CMS and to other related Agreements, as well as to the multilateral environmental agreements and other international organisations concerned, before the deadline (set out in the COP Rules of procedure - currently two months) for transmission of draft resolutions for COP10.