UNITED KINGDOM NATIONAL REPORT FOR THE AQUATIC WARBLER MOU AND ACTION PLAN 2003-2006

This reporting format is designed to monitor the implementation of the Action Plan associated with the Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Conservation Measures for the Aquatic Warbler *Acrocephalus paludicola*. Reporting on the Action Plan's implementation will support information exchange throughout the range and assist the identification of necessary future actions by the Signatories. The questions presented here go beyond the scope of information already requested from CMS Contracting Parties for national reports to the CMS Conference of the Parties.

GENERAL INFORMATION

GENERAL INFORMATION
Which agency or institution has been primarily responsible for the preparation of this report?
UK Joint Nature Conservation Committee
List any other agencies, institutions, or NGOs that have provided input:
Reports submitted to date:
None
Period covered by this report:
from 30 April 2003 to 30 April 2006
Memorandum in effect in Signatory State since: 30 April 2003
Designated Focal Point (and full contact details):
Eric Blencowe,
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs,
Temple Quay House, 2 The Square
Temple Quay, Bristol BS1 6EB
Telephone: +44 (0)117 372 8295
Fax: +44 (0)117 372 8373
Email: Eric.Blencowe@defra.gsi.gov.uk

OBJECTIVES

1	0	POLICY	ANDI	ECISI	ATIVE

1.0	POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE
1.1.	To promote national and international broad policies and legislation which favour the conservation of the Aquatic Warbler and its habitat
1.1.1.	Promote the full protection of the Aquatic Warbler and its habitats through national and international legislation
	 a) Is the Aquatic Warbler protected under national legislation in your country? ✓ Yes, the species is protected and protection level is sufficient □ Yes, the species is protected, but protection level is not sufficient □ No, the species is not protected
	b) If Yes, please describe the state of protection and limitations and conservation responsibilities this protection status imposes on the state, conservationists and land-users.
	See UK national report to AEWA MoP3 (http://www.unep-aewa.org/meetings/en/mop/mop3 docs/national reports/uk nat rep mop3.doc) for a full description of the implications of species national protection legislation (Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981, as ammended).
	c) If the Aquatic Warbler is not protected or protection level is not sufficient, please describe what your country is planning to do to ensure highest possible protection of the species.
	d) Is there national legislation in place in your country that ensures effective protection of Aquatic Warbler habitat (breeding, stop-over and wintering sites), including prevention of potentially detrimental activities (drainage, mineral extraction, industry, etc.).
	✓ Yes □ No
	e) If Yes, please provide details.
	See UK national report to AEWA MoP3 (http://www.unep-aewa.org/meetings/en/mop/mop3 docs/national reports/uk nat rep mop3.doc) for a full description of the implications of national legislation (Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981, as ammended) as related to the protection of sites and habitats.
	A UK Species Action Plan has been in place for Aquatic Warbler since 1995: http://www.ukbap.org.uk/UKPlans.aspx?ID=76
	f) If No, please describe measures taken to ensure protection of Aquatic Warbler breeding habitats.
1.1.2.	Seek national or international policy incentives to maintain suitable farming practices at breeding sites which are impacted by drainage or threatened by succession
	Are there any national or international policy incentives to maintain suitable farming practices at breeding sites in your country (agro-environmental schemes, etc.)?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country is outside of breeding range

If yes, please describe briefly the nature of the incentives and whether they are effectively applied or used by farmers and land-managers.

If no, please describe what measures are being taken to ensure availability of such incentives.

2.0 SPECIES AND HABITAT PROTECTION

- 2.1. To promote adequate protection of the breeding sites and remove key factors adversely affecting the breeding habitat
- 2.1.1. Seek designation as protected areas of all sites regularly holding breeding Aquatic Warblers.
 - a) In the table attached (Annex I), please provide details for all regularly occupied Aquatic Warbler breeding sites in your country and indicate their protection status (*please expand the table if necessary*).
 - b) If Aquatic Warbler breeding sites currently are not fully protected or protection level is not sufficient, please provide information about constraints and what your country is planning to do to ensure full and adequate protection of these sites.
 - c) If Site Management Plans have not been developed for all Aquatic Warbler breeding sites, please describe what hampers development of Management Plans and what your country is doing to ensure development, approval and implementation of Site Management Plans for regular Aquatic Warbler breeding sites.
 - d) Please advise what assistance you would require to complete or improve existing Site Management Plans.
- 2.1.2. Prevent habitat alteration, habitat fragmentation, pollution and other factors that could be detrimental to the Aquatic Warbler in its breeding sites

a)	Are new development projects that could potentially have a detrimental effect on current or
	potential Aquatic Warbler breeding sites (such as drainage, peat extraction, construction of
	highways, etc.) subject to environmental impact assessment in your country?

	☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country is outside of breeding range
b)	Have there been any potentially detrimental projects <i>implemented</i> in any Aquatic Warbler habitat in your country since signing this Memorandum of Understanding?
	□ Yes ✓ No
c)	If yes, indicate sites involved, give details and describe the outcome of impact monitoring if

- available.
- d) Has implementation of any potentially detrimental project in any Aquatic Warbler habitat in your country been *halted* since signing this Memorandum of Understanding?

☐ Yes	□ No
✓ Not	applicable

e) If Yes, please give details.

2.2. To manage the breeding habitat to increase numbers, productivity and distribution

2.2.1. Regulate water levels and restore natural water conditions
a) Has water management been implemented at Aquatic Warbler breeding sites in you country?
☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country outside of breeding range
b) If Yes, please describe actions taken, sites involved and effects expected/achieved.
c) What constraints are limiting implementation of these activities at other sites in need effective water management?
2.2.2. Prevent natural succession of the vegetation by undertaking management where necessary
a) Has vegetation management been undertaken at Aquatic Warbler breeding sites in you country to prevent natural succession?
☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country outside of breeding range
b) If Yes, please describe actions taken (mowing, bush-removing, etc), what equipment we used for vegetation management and how efficient it was. Please refer to reports if available and comparative analysis of different types of equipment if it was conducted.
c) If No, what constraints are limiting vegetation management at other sites where it is needed and what is your country doing to ensure proper vegetation management at Aquatic Warblebreeding sites?
2.2.3. Hand-scything and mowing
 a) If historical information is available, please describe to which extent current Aquatic Warbl breeding sites were hand scythed and mown.
b) Are hand-scything and mowing being applied for habitat conservation for the Aquat Warbler in your country?
☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country outside of breeding range
c) If Yes, please describe how this was approached, which sites were involved and the arc covered. Please provide details if conservation effect of hand-scything and mowing has been

evaluated. Please refer to published materials if available.

	d)	What constraints are limiting hand-scything and mowing at sites w management is needed?	here extensive habitat
2.2.4.	Co	ntrolled burning	
a)	Is co	ntrolled burning a legal habitat management tool in your country?	☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Not applicable
	b)	If Yes, is burning used as a habitat management tool for Aquatic Wa actions taken, sites involved and effects achieved or expected. Ple materials if information regarding the effects of controlled burning has published.	ase refer to published
	c)	If No, then what actions are being undertaken to legalize controlled bu	rning?
2.2.5.	Gr	azing	
	a)	Has grazing been used for habitat management at Aquatic Warbler country?	breeding sites in your
			☐ No ✓ Country is tside of breeding range
	b)	If yes, please describe which animals are used, which sites are involve expected/achieved. Please give reference to published materials if inferences of grazing has been summarized and published.	
2.2.6.	Dis	sseminate habitat management recommendations to land managers	
	a)		g disseminated to land ☐ No ✓ Country is tside of breeding range
	b)	If Yes, please describe ways of dissemination of habitat manageme land managers used: events, publications, etc. Please give reference	
	c)	If No, then what constraints are limiting dissemination of recommendations and what should be done to overcome these constraints	
	d)	Please advise if there is successful experience other Range States assistance your country would require to help share this information	
2.3.	To	protect the Aquatic Warbler and its habitat in the winter quarters an	d along the migration

2.3.1. Promote the protection and appropriate management of wintering and passage sites

a) winterin		the table attached (Annex I), please provide details about major Aquatic Warbler passage and ites in your country (<i>please expand the table if necessary</i>)
	b)	Are primary Aquatic Warbler passage/wintering sites appropriately managed in your country?
		✓ Fully □ Partially □ No
	c)	Please list on-going and implemented projects and provide brief information about results achieved.
	res LIF	ive year project to restore reed-bed habitat has been undertaken at Marazion Marsh, a RSPB erve and SPA for Aquatic Warblers. The project was aided by £49,000 from the EU funded E project, part of a £4million initiative to help Great Bitterns <i>Botaurus stellaris</i> and their habitat he UK. See http://www.rspb.org.uk/england/southwest/conservation/marazion.asp .
	d)	What are the remaining gaps and what is your country planning to do to ensure sufficient protection and management of primary passage/wintering sites?
2.4.	To	restore habitats for the Aquatic Warbler
2.4.1.	Un	dertake the ecological restoration of potential breeding sites
	a)	Have potential or irregularly occupied Aquatic Warbler breeding sites in your country been evaluated?
		☐ Fully ☐ Partially ☐ No ☐ Country is outside of breeding range
	b)	If Yes, what initiatives aimed at ecological restoration of potential breeding sites have been undertaken in your country? Which sites are involved and what effects are expected/achieved?
	c)	If No, what are the constraints and which actions should be taken in order to overcome these constraints?
3.0	Mo	ONITORING AND RESEARCH
<i>3.1.</i>	То	develop and implement a monitoring programme enabling population trends to be tracked
3.1.1.	Dis	stribution of a methodology for counting Aquatic Warblers
	a)	Is the methodology adopted for counting Aquatic Warblers used on the national level <i>different</i> to what is advised in the Aquatic Warbler Species Action Plan?
		☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ No methodology is adapted
	An pul	egular occurrence limits the potential for systematic survey. The sites regularly used (see nex) are well watched and observations from those areas and elsewhere are annually blished in country bird reports, and collated nationally and published by the journal <i>British ds</i> (see Annex for more details).

b) If Yes, please describe briefly possible differences and amendments.

	c)	Does your country have ethis experience?	experience applying this methodolo	ogy and what can be learned from
	d)	What does your country this methodology?	do to distribute and familiarize rel	evant institutions/specialists with
3.1.2	2. Un	dertake national surveys to	estimate breeding populations	
	a)	Have national (all-country in your country?	y) surveys of Aquatic Warbler bree	eding population been undertaken
		☐ Yes (give years) ☐ No ✓ Country is outside of		
	b)	If Yes, what methodo organization was coordin	ology is used (full counts, transating the survey?	unsect counts, etc.) and what
	c)	What is the size and trer refer to published materi	nd of the national breeding popul als if applicable.	ation (vocalizing males)? Please
	Year of	f survey:	Year of survey:	Year of survey:
	Popula	tion size:	Population size:	Population size:
		☐ Fully (> 90 % of suita ☐ High (60-90 % of suita ☐ Medium (30-60 % of ☐ Low (< 30 % of suita When is the next nation country? If no national surveys ha	table habitats surveyed) suitable habitats surveyed)	quatic Warbler planned in your
3.1.3	B. Co	llect data at the major kno	wn passage sites and identify furth	er resting sites
	a)	Have studies at known A	quatic Warbler passage sites been	conducted in your country?
				✓ Yes □ No □
	b)	monitoring is being con	briefly, which major passage s aducted (Aquatic Warbler popula ategy, etc) and which organization	tion, habitat parameters, impact

Statutory sites classified for Aquatic Warblers in the UK are all subject to JNCC's Common Standards Monitoring programme which aims to regularly ass the features for which the sites have been classified. See http://www.jncc.gov.uk/page-2217 for further information.

	c)	What are the main findings and what conservation implications do they have?
	d)	If Yes, to what extent are major known Aquatic Warbler passage sites are being monitored in your country?
		 ☐ Fully (> 90% of known sites) ✓ High (60-90 % of known sites) ☐ Medium (30-60 % of known sites) ☐ Low (< 30 % of known sites)
	f)	To what extent have major Aquatic Warbler passage sites been identified in your country?
		 ✓ Fully (> 90 % of suitable habitats surveyed) ☐ High (60-90 % of suitable habitats surveyed) ☐ Medium (30-60 % of suitable habitats surveyed) ☐ Low (< 30 % of suitable habitats surveyed) ☐ No monitoring is conducted
	g)	What are the gaps and what is your country doing to address them?
3.1.4.	Ide	entify major wintering areas
	a)	Have studies aimed at identifying Aquatic Warbler wintering areas have been conducted in your country?
		Yes □ No ✓ Country is outside of wintering range
	b)	If Yes, what are the main findings and conservation implications? If available, please refer to published reports.
	c)	If Yes, To what extent was the territory of your country covered by the survey of wintering areas?
		 □ Fully (> 90 % of suitable habitats surveyed) □ High (60-90 % of suitable habitats surveyed) □ Medium (30-60 % of suitable habitats surveyed) □ Low (< 30 % of suitable habitats surveyed)
	d)	If wintering sites have been identified, to what extend are these sites being monitored during migration?
		 □ Fully (> 90% of known sites) □ High (60-90 % of known sites) □ Medium (30-60 % of known sites) □ Low (< 30 % of known sites) □ No monitoring is conducted

	e) If your country is outside of Aquatic Warbler wintering range, which international initiatives aimed at identification of Aquatic Warbler wintering grounds has your country been involved in? What are the main findings?
	f) What are the gaps and what needs to be done to help address them?
3.1.5.	Research into habitat characteristics at migration and wintering sites
	a) Has research into habitat characteristics at migration and/or wintering sites been conducted in your country?
	□ Yes ✓ No
	b) If Yes, please provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published.
	c) What are the main findings and conservation implications?
	d) What are the remaining gaps and what needs to be done to address them?
3.1.6.	Research on movements during the breeding season / exchange of subpopulations
	Has research on Aquatic Warbler movements during breeding season/exchange of subpopulations been conducted in your country?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ✓ Country is outside of breeding range
	A recent review of movements of Aquatic Warblers through the UK was published by Marchant (2002) ¹ based on information derived from UK ringing and other data and information.
	Annual totals of ringed Aquatic Warblers are reported by BTO each year (http://blx1.bto.org/pdf/ringmigration/22 4/ringreport.pdf). Seven birds were ringed in 2004, bringing the cumulative total ringed in the UK to 745.
	If Yes, please describe which territories were covered, what methods were used (colour ringing, radio-tagging, etc.) and what were the main findings. Please give reference to published materials if available.
	If Yes, was the research on movements during the breeding season coordinated with researchers from neighbouring Aquatic Warbler Range States. $\hfill Yes \hfill No$

¹ Marchant, J.H. (2002). Aquatic Warbler *Acrocephalus paludicola*. Pp. 720. In: Wernham, C.V., Toms, M.P., Marchant, J.H., Clark, J.A., Siriwardena, G.M. & Baillie, S.R. (eds.) *The Migration Atlas: movements of the birds of Britain and Ireland*. T. & A.D. Poyser, London.

If the research hasn't been conducted, what is your country planning to do to initiate such cooperation?

3.1.7. Develop and implement an international monitoring programme

Is your country participating in development and/or implementation of international Aquatic Warbler monitoring programmes?

✓ Yes □ No

If Yes, please list on-going and completed projects and indicate which areas they focus on and which other countries are involved. Please provide reference to published results if available.

The UK government has funded RSPB to undertake a study of migratory connectivity of Aquatic warblers using stable isotopes (see http://www.rspb.org.uk/science/Ecology/2004/aquaticwarbler.asp). Results have been published by Pain *et al.* (2004)2.

"Results suggest that birds from Poland moult at a discrete and relatively geographically restricted area within Africa, which is separate from and further north than the moulting areas used by birds from Belarussian and Ukrainian breeding populations. Polish birds may therefore be particularly vulnerable to environmental changes on their African moulting/wintering grounds, and locating these is a conservation priority.

Work is currently underway to verify this hypothesis, and to further refine the search for Aquatic Warbler wintering areas, using resident African warblers as surrogates."

Are there areas that haven't been properly addressed, if so, what needs to be done to assist your country in addressing these gaps?

3.2. To promote research useful for the conservation of the Aquatic Warbler in the future

3.2.1. Undertake comparative studies on breeding success and population recruitment in different habitats

a)	Have studies on breeding success and population recruitment in different habitats beer conducted in your country?					
		Yes, in collaboration with other Range States Yes, on the national scale No comparative studies have been conducted Country is outside of breeding range				
b)	If av	ailable, please list on-going and completed studies and give reference to published tts.				

² Pain, D.J., Green, R.E., Gießing, B., Kozulin, A., Poluda, A., Ottosson, U., Flade, M. & Hilton, G.M. 2004. Using stable isotopes to investigate migratory connectivity of the globally threatened aquatic warbler *Acrocephalus paludicola. Oecologia* 138: 168-174.

	c)	What are the main findings of these studies?				
	d)	Are there any future comparative studies your country is able to initiate? What would be needed to do this?				
	e)	If no comparative studies are being implemented, what is your country planning to do to stimulate this research and what assistance would be required?				
3.2.2.	Assess the effect of burning, scything, mowing, grazing and water conditions on breeding populations					
	a)	Effect of which of the following factors and potential habitat management techniques on Aquatic Warbler breeding population was assessed in your country?				
		 □ Controlled burning □ Scything □ Mowing □ Water conditions □ Other(what) ✓ No assessment has been conducted (country outside breeding range) 				
	b)	What are the main findings and conservation implications? If available, please give reference to published reports.				
	c)	Are there any gaps? What limits further assessment of this factor's effects?				
3.2.3.	De	velop collaborative research and monitoring programmes between range-states				
	a)	Is your country involved in international collaborative and monitoring programmes on the Aquatic Warbler? \checkmark Yes \square No				
	b)	If yes, please provide brief details about on-going and completed projects. Which Aquatic Warbler range states are involved? What fields studied?				
	c)	What are the main findings and conservation implications?				
	d)	What are the gaps and what is needed to address them?				
4.0	PU	BLIC AWARENESS				
<i>4.1</i> .	To ensure development of a strong network of organisations and individuals committed to the conservation of the Aquatic Warbler					
	a)	Does a network of organisations/individuals committed to the conservation of the Aquatic Warbler exist in your country?				
		☐ Yes ✓ No				

- b) If Yes, how broad is this network and what organizations/individuals are taking the lead in facilitation and coordination of its development?
- c) What actions does your country undertake to broaden the circle of organisations and individuals committed to conservation of Aquatic Warbler?

The UK Species Action Plan for Aquatic Warbler (http://www.ukbap.org.uk/UKPlans.aspx?ID=76) does not envisage any specific communication or publicity actions are currently needed for Aquatic Warblers in the UK. – in large part owing to their irregular occurrence.

- d) What successful experience can other Range States draw on?
- e) What would be needed to establish a network if it does not already exist or to improve an existing one?

Not applicable – see above.

4.2. To use the Aquatic Warbler as a flagship species

Has the Aquatic Warbler been used as a flagship species in your country for the inventory and protection of wetlands?

☐ Yes ✓ No

If Yes, please briefly describe how and provide examples if available.

If No, what limits promotion and use of the Aquatic Warbler as a flagship species and how does your country plan to address this?

No plans to use as a flagship – irregularity and unpredictability of occurrence limits the usefulness of the species as a flagship.

4.3. To prepare educational materials promoting and giving information

a)	Have any educational	and promotional	materials at	bout Aquatic '	Warbler been	developed :	ın
	your country?						

☐ Yes, specifically devoted to the Aquatic Warbler.

✓ Yes, the Aquatic Warbler is included into materials with a broader context.

□ No, Aquatic Warbler is not covered in educational and promotional materials.

If Yes, please describe the nature of such materials and how they were disseminated. Please give reference to published materials if available.

Species is highlighted in general information and web-resources concerned with key sites, *e.g.* http://www.rspb.org.uk/reserves/guide/m/marazionmarsh/index.asp

If No, please describe what limits development of such materials and give details about what your country is planning to do to promote Aquatic Warbler and its conservation.

PART II. COUNTRY-SPECIFIC ATIONS

Please report on the implementation of the country-specific actions listed for your country in Part II of the Action Plan and provide information if that is not already covered by your answers under Part I. Please describe not only the measures taken but also their impact on the Aquatic Warbler or its habitat in the context of the objectives of the Memorandum of Understanding and the Action Plan. Where you have already answered on country-specific actions in Part I, please only add a reference to the relevant answer here.

Current status assessments

Records of Aquatic Warblers at key (and other sites) are collated and published annually by county bird reports. Nationally, these records are further collated and an annual summary published in the journal *British Birds*. Most recent assessments have been published by Fraser & Rogers (2003, 2004)³.

The most recent national assessment⁴ of population size was 33 (five year mean 1996-2000). National totals of 11 in 2001 and 12 in 2002 were reported by Fraser & Rogers (2003, 2004).

The past and current status of Aquatic Warblers in England was recently reviewed by Brown & Grice (2005)⁵.

³ Fraser, P.A. & Rogers, M.J. 2003. Report on scarce migrant birds in Britain in 2001. *British Birds* 96: 626-649.

Fraser, P.A. & Rogers, M.J. 2004. Report on scarce migrant birds in Britain in 2002. Part 1: European Bee-eater to Little Bunting. *British Birds* 97: 647-664.

⁴Baker, H., Stroud, D.A., Aebischer, N.J., Cranswick, P.A., Gregory, R.D., McSorley, C.A., Noble, D.G. & Rehfisch, M.M. (2006). Population estimates of birds in Great Britain and the United Kingdom. *British Birds* 99: 25-44.

⁵ Brown, A.F. & Grice, P. 2005. *Birds in England*. Christopher Helm, London. 600 pp.

Sites of international importance for passage Aquatic Warblers in the UK

Name of the site, geographical coordinates	Status (B – breeding, W – wintering P – passage)	Aquatic Warbler population supported (vocalizing males (breeding) or individuals (migration or wintering))	Year of survey	Total area of the site	Area of the site under protection	Type of protection	Does protection level fully reject possible detrimental developments? [Yes/No]	Site Management Plan (D – developed, A - approved, I – implemented)
Dungeness to Pett Level (http://www.jncc.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=2 046)	P	30 ⁶	Count as at 1997	1,474.04 ha	1,474.04 ha	National = SSSI International = SPA; (proposed Ramsar site)	Yes	
Marazion Marsh (http://www.jncc.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=2 087)	Р	6	Count mean 1994-1998	54.58 ha	54.58 ha	National = SSSI International = SPA	Yes	
Poole Harbour (http://www.jncc.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=2 031)	P	11	Count as at 1997	2,271.99 ha	2,271.99 ha	National = SSSI International = SPA & Ramsar (http://www.jncc.gov.uk/p df/RIS/UK11054.pdf)	Yes	

The network of EU Special Protection Areas classified for passage Aquatic Warblers is described at: http://www.jncc.gov.uk/pdf/UKSPA/UKSPA-A6-100.pdf. It is estimated that about 70% of the national 'population' occurs with these three sites.

Further information on these and others sites is available from: http://www.incc.gov.uk/page-1412.

 $^{^{6}}$ Note that these totals are rather higher than more recent totals (Fraser & Rogers 2003, 2004).